



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,786	06/04/2001	Felix Yen	2657.2003-001	2843
21005	7590	03/16/2007	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			DUONG, OANH L	
530 VIRGINIA ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 9133			2155	
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133				

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/873,786	YEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Oanh Duong	2155	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18,20-37 and 39-46 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18, 20-37, 39-46 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ .

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18, 20-37, 39-46 are presented for examination.

Claims 19, and 38 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The feature "comparing the collected short-term viewership activity data with a compressed version of the long-term viewership activity data" found no support by application's specification. Applicant indicated that support for above feature can be found at least on page 10 lines 9-16 of the specification. However, specification in page 10 lines 9-16 only define "compressed version of the long term activity is transmitted" and "correlates the short term activity 420 with the long term activity 430". None of the text described in page 10 lines 9-16 of the specification supports "comparing the collected short-term viewership activity data with a compressed version of the long-term viewership activity data".

For purpose of examination, examiner interprets the above feature as "correlates the short term activity with the long term activity" as defined in applicant's specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-13, 20-32 and 39-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maissel et al. (Maissel) (US 6,637,029) in view of Barrett et al. (Barrett) (WO 01/22731 A1).

Regarding claim 26, Maissel teaches method for generating and using viewership profiles to facilitate distributing promotions based on the profiles of at least one network device (Fig. 8A-8B and abstract), comprising:

collecting viewership activity data for a network device (*i.e., receives television viewing behavior of one or more individual viewers, col. 11 lines 56-44*);
correlating the viewership activity data with program *schedule* (*i.e., combines*

the television viewing information with the program schedule information, col. 12 lines 16-22);

generating a viewership profile for the network device based on the correlation (a *viewer preference profile is generated by storing the current program characteristics in a viewer preference profile, col. 12 lines 12-34*);

forwarding the viewership profile to the network device (col. 15 line 55-col. 16 line 4: *Maissel discloses viewer preference profile is sent/forwarded to the program schedule customization apparatus*), the viewership profile including long-term viewership activity data of the network device (col. 12 lines 16-34: *Maissel discloses the viewer profile comprises viewing information over a period of time, for example, a year or more*);

collecting short-term viewership activity data of the network device (col. 12 lines 16-34: *Maissel discloses viewer profile comprises information obtained/collected over a period of time, wherein the period of time may be as short as a few minutes*).

Maissel does not compare the collected short-term viewership activity data with the long-term viewership activity data of the viewership profile in order to determine a type of individual presently interacting with the network device.

Barrett, in the same field of endeavor, teaches comparing the collected short-term viewership activity data (i.e., *view actions since the beginning of the television viewing session*) with the long-term viewership activity data of the viewership profile (i.e., *data and information derived from profile(s)*) in order to determine a type of individual (i.e., *viewer*) presently interacting with the network device (i.e., *Remote Viewer Module*) (pages 4-5

step (h)).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Maissel to include steps of comparing the collected short-term viewership activity data with the long-term viewership activity data of the viewership profile in order to determine a type of individual presently interacting with the network device as taught by Barrett. One would be motivated to do so to allow viewer(s) of an advanced television to receive and view individualized television commercial(s) (Barrett, page 1).

Claim 1 represents a system that is parallel to claim 26. Claim 1 does not teach or define any new limitation above claim 26 and therefore is rejected for similar reasons.

Regarding claims 2 and 27, Maissel teaches the viewership activity data of the network device includes a program channel on the network device (col. 11 lines 60-61).

Regarding claims 3 and 28, Maissel teaches the viewership activity data includes a time at which the network device was tuned to the channel (col. 12 lines 16-34).

Regarding claims 4 and 29, Maissel teaches the viewership activity includes a time at which the network device was tuned away from the channel (col. 12 lines 26-45).

Regarding claim 5, 25, 30 and 44, Maissel teaches collecting viewership activity data collects viewership activity data if the difference between at time the network device was tuned to the channel and a time the network device was tuned away from the channel is greater than a configurable time period (col. 17 lines 17-44).

Regarding claims 6 and 31, Maissel teaches collecting viewership activity data is performed over a twenty-four hour period (col. 12 lines 26-31).

Regarding claims 7 and 32, Maissel teaches the viewership activity data includes a program viewed by a viewer (col. 11 lines 59-61).

Regarding claim 8, Maissel teaches the viewership activity data provides a percentage of time the program was viewed by the viewer (col. 12 lines 35-41 and col. 17 lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 9, Maissel teaches wherein the percentage of time is precise to within about one percent (col. 17 lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 10, Maissel teaches the program belongs to a genre (col. 11 lines 7-37).

Regarding claim 11, Maissel teaches the viewership activity data provides a percentage of time which the genre is viewed (col. 12 lines 38-41).

Regarding claim 12, Maissel teaches the percentage of time is precise to within about one percent (col. 17 lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 13, Maissel teaches the program belongs to multiple genres (col. 11 lines 7-32).

Regarding claims 20 and 39, Maissel teaches the short-term viewership activity spans over a time period of about one minute (col. 17 lines 32-34).

Regarding claims 21 and 40, Maissel teaches the short-term viewership activity spans over a time period of about one hour (col. 17 lines 17-44).

Regarding claims 22 and 41, Maissel teaches the viewership profile includes viewership activity data spanning a time period of about four weeks (col. 12 lines 16-34).

Regarding claims 23 and 42, Maissel teaches wherein the viewership profile includes viewership activity data spanning a time period of about eight weeks (col. 12 lines 16-34).

Regarding claims 24 and 43, Maissel teaches the viewership profile includes viewership activity data spanning a time period of about twelve weeks (col. 12 lines 16-34).

Regarding claims 45-46, those claims recite limitations that are substantially the same as claim 26, discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

6. Claims 14-18 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maissel et al. (Maissel) (US 6,637,029) in view of Barrett et al. (Barrett) (WO 01/22731 A1) in further view of Swix et al. (Swix) (US 6,718,551 B1).

Regarding claims 14 and 33, Maissel-Barrett does not explicitly teach the viewership profile data is matched with a group profile

Swix, in the same field of endeavor, teaches the viewership profile data is matched with a group profile (col. 8 lines 4-8).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Maissel-Barrett to include a group profile as taught by Swix because it would effectively target advertisement to a demographic group.

Regarding claims 15 and 34, Swix-Barrett-Maissel teaches the group profile is based on viewer attributes (Swix, col. 5 lines 10-13).

Regarding claims 16 and 35, Swix-Barrett-Maissel teaches the attributes include a geographic location (Swix, col. 3 lines 3-23).

Regarding claims 17 and 36, Swix-Barrett-Maissel teaches the attributes include demographic characteristics (Swix, col. 5 lines 10-13).

Regarding claims 18 and 37, Maissel teaches the attributes include a genre of programs (Maissel, col. 11 lines 30-32).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oanh Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3983. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9:30PM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Oanh Duong
March 14, 2007